EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER

Page 1 of 2

DOCKET NO.: 2007-0925-AIR-E **TCEQ ID:** RN102705191 **CASE NO.:** 33786

RESPONDENT NAME: K.A.T. Excavation & Construction Inc.

ORDER TYPE:							
X_1660 AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS AGREED ORDER						
FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	INGS DEFAULT ORDERSHUTDOWN ORDERIMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER						
_AMENDED ORDEREMERGENCY ORDER							
CASE TYPE:							
<u>X</u> AIR	MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE					
PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION					
WATER QUALITY	SEWAGE SLUDGE	UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL					
MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	RADIOACTIVE WASTE	DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION					
SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED: KAT Excavation & Construction, 18788 Highway 105, Sour Lake, Hardin County TYPE OF OPERATION: Bulk mineral handling operation							
SMALL BUSINESS: X Yes No							
OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: A correcord of additional pending enforcement act	mplaint was received on April 11, 2007 with allegations regarding this facility location.	cions of nuisance dust emissions. There is no					
INTERESTED PARTIES: A complaint was received, but the complainant has not expressed a desire to protest this action or to speak at Agenda.							
COMMENTS RECEIVED: The Texas Register comment period expired on September 24, 2007. No comments were received.							
CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST:							

DOCKET NO.: 2007-0925-AIR-E

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHAR	T:			
VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED		
Type of Investigation: X Complaint Routine Enforcement Follow-up Records Review Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this Case: April 11, 2007	Total Assessed: \$2,175 Total Deferred: \$435 _X Expedited Settlement _Financial Inability to Pay SEP Conditional Offset: \$0	The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent installed approximately 350 feet of new asphalt and concrete at the exit of the Plant on June 1, 2007 to eliminate future nuisance and/or traffic hazard conditions.		
Date of Investigation Relating to this Case: April 11, 2007 Date of NOE Relating to this Case: June 8, 2007 (NOE) Background Facts: This was a complaint investigation. One violation was documented.	Total Paid to General Revenue: \$1,740 Site Compliance History Classification High X Average Poor Person Compliance History Classification High X Average Poor Major Source: Yes X No	The Section of Market and Section of the Section of		
AIR	Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002]		
Failure to prevent a nuisance condition, to prevent emissions from impacting off-site properties, and to prevent a traffic hazard along State Highway 105 resulting from dust emissions created by vehicle traffic at the Plant, as documented during the April 11, 2007 investigation [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE §§ 101.4 and 101.5 and Tex. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(a) and (b)].	A sum of the control	ang an ag than the magazite to the		

Policy Revision 2 (Sept		nalty (Calculation	on Works	sheet (P	•	December 8, 2006
TCEQ							
DATES Assigned PCW	11-Jun-2007 13-Jun-2007	Screenin	g 12-Jun-2007	EPA Due			- Andrews
RESPONDENT/FACILITY					<u> </u>		
	K.A.T. Excavatio	n & Const	truction Inc.		·		
Reg. Ent. Ref. No. Facility/Site Region				Major/l	Minor Source	Minor	
CASE INFORMATION Enf./Case ID No.	33786] No	of Violations	[1	
	2007-0925-AIR-E	Ē		-	Order Type		
Media Program(s)	Air Quality			Enf		Jorge Ibarra, P.E.	
Multi-Media Admin. Penalty \$ L	imit Minimum	\$0	Maximum	\$10,000	EC's Team	EnforcementTeam 2	· wouldownout ·
Aumin. 1 chary 4 L		φυ	Illiaxilla	ψ.ο,οοο			
		Pena	alty Calcu	lation Sec	tion		Emilion in oversity of the control o
TOTAL BASE PENAL	TY (Sum of v	iolation	base penalt	ies)		Subtotal 1	\$2,500
	•		. 			The state of the s	
ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) Subtotals 2-7 are obtain			Penalty (Subtotal 1)	by the indicated per	rcentage		. 4: X . F
Compliance Histo		Total Dase		% Enhancement		otals 2, 3, & 7	\$300
			NOV-		-::		
Notes	The Responden	t was issu ine NOV i	ied two NOVS w	on the same or e or similar type	similar type or of violations.		000000
	violations and c	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	manout and cam	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Culpability	No		0'	% Enhancement		Subtotal 4	\$0
Calpability			<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·]	
Notes	Resp	ondent do	oes not meet the	e culpability crite	eria.		
						0.14-4-15	\$625
Good Faith Effor	to Comply Before NOV	NOV to FDI	25 PRP/Settlement Offe	5.7.		Subtotal 5	\$625
Extraordinary	Doloio ive v						
Ordinary	x						
N/A		mark with x				7	
Notes	The Resp	ondent ac	chieved full comp	oliance on June	1, 2007.		
		te decina e		Laretti ett austrintiste et	en e ne Nervangesko	uman kata	
The state of the s	Total EB Amounts	\$70		% Enhancement* oed at the Total EB	\$ Amount	Subtotal 6	\$0
Approx.	Cost of Compliance	\$10,000			,		i
SUM OF SUBTOTAL	S 17					Final Subtotal	\$2,175
SUM OF SUBTOTAL	3 1-7				남자 회원 수에 있다는 것	mar captotar	
OTHER FACTORS A	S JUSTICE M	AY REC	UIRE	on'		Adjustment	\$0
Reduces or enhances the Final S	ubtotal by the indicate	ed percentag	ge. (Enter number o	only; e.g30 for -30	7%.)	7	
Notes							
Notes							
	L				Final Pe	enalty Amount	\$2,175
OTATUTODY LIMIT A	DUICTMENT				∞ . Fi I A	and Bandles	\$2,175
STATUTORY LIMIT A	(D)O2 I MEN I				Final Ass	essed Penalty	φ2,173
DEFERRAL				20%	Reduction	Adjustment	-\$435
Reduces the Final Assessed Per	alty by the indicted p	ercentage.	(Enter number only;			7	
A.		Joformal -	ffored for aver-	itad aattlamast			
Notes		Jeiei I ai O	mered for exped	ited settlement.			

PAYABLE PENALTY

\$1,740

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

PCW Revision December 8, 2006

Screening Date 12-Jun-2007

Docket No. 2007-0925-AIR-E

Respondent K.A.T. Excavation & Construction Inc.

Case ID No. 33786

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102705191

Media [Statute] Air Quality

Enf. Coordinator Jorge Ibarra, P.E.

Compliance History Worksheet

		Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2) Number of	nter Number Here	Adjust.
	NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	2	10%
L		Other written NOVs	a Late	2%
	_	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
	Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)		0%
	and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government		0%
	Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	, 0	0%
	Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	, 0	0%
	Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an Intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)		0%
		Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0 (2007)	0%
L.,		Plea	se Enter Yes or No	
Γ	. b.f.	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
	Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	Nö	0%
	Othor	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
		Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	∘ No∨	0%
L		Adjustment F	ercentage (Su	ıbtotal 2)
eat	Violator (Su	bfotal 3)	Thirthoppe .	
-[No	Adjustment F	Percentage (Su	ıbtotal 3)
npli	ance History	Person Classification (Subtotal 7)	down ees	
	Average P	erformer Adjustment F	Percentage (Su	ıbtotal 7)
mpli	ance History	Summary		
	Compliance History	The Respondent was issued two NOVs with the same or similar type of violations and one N same or similar type of violations.	IOV without the	

Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7)

Respondent K.A.T. Excavation & Construction Inc. Case ID No. 33786 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102705191 Media [Statute] Air Quality Enf. Coordinator Jorge Ibarra, P.E. Violation Number Rule Cite(s) Violation Description Rule Cite(s) Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102705191 Media [Statute] Air Quality Enf. Coordinator Jorge Ibarra, P.E. Violation Number Rule Cite(s) Failed to prevent a nuisance condition, to prevent emissions from impacting off-site properties, and to prevent a traffic hazard along State Highway 105 resulting from dust emissions created by vehicle traffic at the Plant, as documented during the April 11, 2007 investigation.	
Media [Statute] Air Quality Enf. Coordinator Jorge Ibarra, P.E. Violation Number Rule Cite(s) Violation Description Reg. Enf. Coordinator Jorge Ibarra, P.E. Violation Number Rule Cite(s) Failed to prevent a nuisance condition, to prevent emissions from impacting off-site properties, and to prevent a traffic hazard along State Highway 105 resulting from dust emissions created by vehicle traffic at the Plant, as documented during the April 11, 2007 investigation.	
Media [Statute] Air Quality Enf. Coordinator Jorge Ibarra, P.E. Violation Number Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 101.4 and 101.5 and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(a) and (b) Failed to prevent a nuisance condition, to prevent emissions from impacting off-site properties, and to prevent a traffic hazard along State Highway 105 resulting from dust emissions created by vehicle traffic at the Plant, as documented during the April 11, 2007 investigation.	0,000
Failed to prevent a nuisance condition, to prevent emissions from impacting off-site properties, and to prevent a traffic hazard along State Highway 105 resulting from dust emissions created by vehicle traffic at the Plant, as documented during the April 11, 2007 investigation.	0,000
Violation Number Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 101.4 and 101.5 and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(a) and (b) Failed to prevent a nuisance condition, to prevent emissions from impacting off-site properties, and to prevent a traffic hazard along State Highway 105 resulting from dust emissions created by vehicle traffic at the Plant, as documented during the April 11, 2007 investigation.	0,000
Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin, Code §§ 101.4 and 101.5 and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(a) and (b) Failed to prevent a nuisance condition, to prevent emissions from impacting off-site properties, and to prevent a traffic hazard along State Highway 105 resulting from dust emissions created by vehicle traffic at the Plant, as documented during the April 11, 2007 investigation.	0,000
Failed to prevent a nuisance condition, to prevent emissions from impacting off-site properties, and to prevent a traffic hazard along State Highway 105 resulting from dust emissions created by vehicle traffic at the Plant, as documented during the April 11, 2007 investigation.	0,000
Violation Description properties, and to prevent a traffic hazard along State Highway 105 resulting from dust emissions created by vehicle traffic at the Plant, as documented during the April 11, 2007 investigation.	0,000
Base Penalty \$1	0,000
>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix Harm Release Major Moderate Minor Actual x Potential Potential Percent 25%	
>>Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor	
Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 0%	244
Matrix Notes Failure to control dust emissions resulted in the creation of hazardous conditions along State Highway 105 as a result of this violation.	XX/ZOUTHEXXXXXXIIIIIIIIIXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
Adjustment \$7,500	
A SECTION AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	2000
	2,500
Violation Events	ACCEPTED AND ACCEPTED ACCEPTED AND ACCEPTED AND ACCEPTED ACCEPTED AND ACCEPTED
Number of Violation Events 1 Number of violation days	
daily	\$2,500
One single event is recommended.	Wild Processing Street
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test	
Estimated EB Amount \$70 Violation Final Penalty Total	
	\$2,175
This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)	\$2,175 \$2,175

		conomic l	Benefit W	orks	sheet	SEE AND STA	
Responde	ent K.A.T. Excavat	ion & Construction	Inc.				en esettata este est.
Case ID I	No. 33786						King and a
Reg. Ent. Reference l	No. RN102705191					**	
	dia Air Quality				1977	Tables Alternation	Years of
Violation I						Percent Interest	Depreciation
Violationi	110. 1				e i garaya bara da da da	5.0	Tresus and an extra
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	5.0 Onetime Costs	15 EB,Amount
فدانته فالراب		Date Required			The state of the s	0.00.000.0920.0	HAM SHOUL
Item Descrip	tion No commas or \$		a digital ta salah beberah da	indi., ri			
	·			ornera, eccosos	Karaman da k Baraman da karaman da k	The state of the s	
Delayed Co	sts	All a Company	Colombia (Cl. 19	15.31.91.2302			: !
Equipmen				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$ Q
Building	js <u> </u>			0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed				0,0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construcțio		التصليف والمتراوات		0,0	\$0	\$0	. \$0
Lan		A Land of the land		0,0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	4	0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Samplin				0,0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Dispose		L. Methodistikit	n Maria	0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Cost	ts			0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Cost Other (as needed	ts \$10,000	11-Apr-2007	1-Jun-2007	0.0	\$0 \$70	n/a l n/a	\$0 \$70
Permit Cost	ts \$10,000 Estimated cos	11-Apr-2007 st to install the new	1-Jun-2007 asphalt and conc date, Final Date	0.0 0.1 rete at the is the d	\$0 \$70 ne exit of the Plant ate of compliance	n/a n/a . Date Required is ti	\$0 \$70 ne investigation
Permit Cost Other (as needed	ts \$10,000 Estimated cos	11-Apr-2007 st to install the new	1-Jun-2007 asphalt and conc date, Final Date	0.0 0.1 rete at the is the d	\$0 \$70 ne exit of the Plant ate of compliance	n/a n/a . Date Required is th	\$0 \$70 ne investigation
Permit Cost Other (as needed Notes for DELAYED cost	ts \$10,000 Estimated cos	11-Apr-2007 st to install the new	1-Jun-2007 asphalt and conc date, Final Date	0.0 0.1 rete at the is the dentering 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$70 he exit of the Plant ate of compliance item (except for \$0 3 \$0	. Date Required is the constitution one-time avoided a \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$70 ne investigation costs).
Permit Cost Other (as needed Notes for DELAYED cost Avoided Co Dispos:	Estimated cos	11-Apr-2007 st to install the new	1-Jun-2007 asphalt and conc date, Final Date	0.0 0.1 rete at the disthed is the disthed	\$0 \$70 he exit of the Plant ate of compliance item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0	. Date Required is the cone-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$70 ne investigation costs). \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Cost Other (as needed Notes for DELAYED cost Avoided Co Disposi Personne	Estimated cos	11-Apr-2007 st to install the new	1-Jun-2007 asphalt and conc date, Final Date	0.0 0.1 rete at the is the d	\$0 \$70 he exit of the Plant ate of compliance litem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0	. Date Required is the control one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$70 ne investigation costs).
Permit Cost Other (as needed Notes for DELAYED cost AVOIDED Disposi Personne Inspection/Reporting/Samplin	Estimated cos	11-Apr-2007 st to install the new	1-Jun-2007 asphalt and conc date, Final Date	0.0 0.1 rete at the is the description of the intering of the intering of the interior of th	\$0 \$70 he exit of the Plant ate of compliance item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	. Date Required is the state of	\$0 \$70 ne investigation costs)
Permit Cost Other (as needed Notes for DELAYED cost AVOIDED CO Dispose Persona Inspection/Reporting/Samplin Supplies/equipmer	ts \$10,000 Estimated cos sts ANN al el	11-Apr-2007 st to install the new	1-Jun-2007 asphalt and conc date, Final Date	0.0 0.1	\$0 \$70 he exit of the Plant ate of compliance item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$70 ne investigation costs), \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Cost Other (as needed Notes for DELAYED cost Avoided Co Dispose Personne Inspection/Reporting/Samplin Supplies/equipmet Financial Assurance (2)	Estimated cos sts ANN al	11-Apr-2007 st to install the new	1-Jun-2007 asphalt and conc date, Final Date	0.0 0.1 rete at the is the description of the intering of the intering of the interior of th	\$0 \$70 he exit of the Plant ate of compliance item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	. Date Required is the state of	\$0 \$70 ne investigation costs), \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Cost Other (as needed Notes for DELAYED cost AVOIDED Disposi Personne Inspection/Reporting/Samplin Supplies/equipme Financial Assurance [3 ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated cos sts ANN al	11-Apr-2007 st to install the new	1-Jun-2007 asphalt and conc date, Final Date	0.0 0.1	\$0 \$70 he exit of the Plant ate of compliance item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	. Date Required is the state of	\$0 \$70 ne investigation costs); \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Cost Other (as needed Notes for DELAYED cost AVOIDED Disposi Personne Inspection/Reporting/Samplin Supplies/equipme Financial Assurance [3 ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated cos sts ANN al	11-Apr-2007 st to install the new	1-Jun-2007 asphalt and conc date, Final Date	0.0 0.1	\$0 \$70 he exit of the Plant ate of compliance item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a . Date Required is the second of the second o	\$0 \$70 ne investigation costs); \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Cost Other (as needed Notes for DELAYED cost AVOIDED Disposi Personne Inspection/Reporting/Samplin Supplies/equipme Financial Assurance [3 ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated cos sts ANN al el	11-Apr-2007 st to install the new	1-Jun-2007 asphalt and conc date, Final Date	0.0 0.1	\$0 \$70 he exit of the Plant ate of compliance item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	. Date Required is the state of	\$0 \$70 ne investigation costs); \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Cost Other (as needed Notes for DELAYED cost AVOIDED CO Disposi Personne Inspection/Reporting/Sequipme Financial Assurance [ONE-TIME avoided costs [Other (as needed	Estimated cos sts ANN al el	11-Apr-2007 st to install the new	1-Jun-2007 asphalt and conc date, Final Date	0.0 0.1	\$0 \$70 he exit of the Plant ate of compliance item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a . Date Required is the second of the second o	\$0 \$70 ne investigation costs); \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Cost Other (as needed Notes for DELAYED cost AVOIDED CO Disposi Personne Inspection/Reporting/Sequipme Financial Assurance [ONE-TIME avoided costs [Other (as needed	Estimated cos sts ANN al el	11-Apr-2007 st to install the new	1-Jun-2007 asphalt and conc date, Final Date	0.0 0.1	\$0 \$70 he exit of the Plant ate of compliance item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a . Date Required is the second of the second o	\$0 \$70 ne investigation costs); \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Cost Other (as needed Notes for DELAYED cost AVOIDED CO Disposi Personne Inspection/Reporting/Sequipme Financial Assurance [ONE-TIME avoided costs [Other (as needed	Estimated cos sts ANN al el	11-Apr-2007 st to install the new	1-Jun-2007 asphalt and conc date, Final Date	0.0 0.1	\$0 \$70 he exit of the Plant ate of compliance item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a Date Required is the state of t	\$0 \$70 ne investigation costs); \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator: CN602838344 K.A.T. Excavation & Classification: AVERAGE Rating: 3.01 Construction Inc. BY DEFAULT Regulated Entity: RN102705191 KAT EXCAVATION & Classification: AVERAGE Site Rating: 3.01 CONSTRUCTION BY DEFAULT ID Number(s): AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS ACCOUNT NUMBER 951031D AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS **PERMIT** 51966L002 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS **PERMIT** 51966L003 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS PERMIT 51966L009 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 51966L005 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS PERMIT 51966L004 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS PERMIT 51031 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS **PERMIT** 51031A AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 51966L007 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 51966L008 Location: 18788 HIGHWAY 105, SOUR LAKE, TX, 77659 Rating Date: September 01 06 Repeat Violator: **REGION 10 - BEAUMONT** TCEQ Region: Date Compliance History Prepared: June 14, 2007 Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement Compliance Period: June 14, 2002 to June 14, 2007 TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History Name: Jorge Ibarra, P.E. (817) 588-5890 Site Compliance History Components 1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance Yes 2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance Yes 3. If Yes, who is the current owner? K.A.T. Excavation & Construction, Inc. 4. if Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)? Cecil M. Hopper Contractor, Inc. 5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur? 5/18/2005 Components (Multimedia) for the Site: Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government. В. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government. C. Chronic excessive emissions events.

N/A

- D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)
 - 1. 09/18/2006 (510374)
 - 2. 02/07/2007 (533889)
 - 3. 04/04/2007 (543249)
 - 4. 05/04/2007 (559601)
- E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

Date: 09/18/2006

(510374)

Self Report? NO

Classificatio Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.4 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.5

5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter D 382.085(b)

Description:

Failure to prevent a nuisance condition at a nearby resident and traffic

hazard along a highway. (533889)

Date: 02/07/2007 Self Report? NO

Classificatio Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.4

5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter D 382,085(b)

Description:

Failure to prevent a nuisance condition.

Date: 04/04/2007

(543249)

Self Report?

NO

Citation: Description: TWC Chapter 26 26.121(d)

ut firðtanuss Failure by Kat Excavation to prevent the unauthorized discharge of industrial

Classificatio Moderate

WHENDY VENILLING

waste water into or adjacent to waters of the state.

F. Environmental audits.

N/A

Type of environmental management systems (EMSs). G.

Н. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

N/A

Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program. ١.

N/A

J. Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
K.A.T. EXCAVATION &	§	
CONSTRUCTION INC.	§	
RN102705191	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2007-0925-AIR-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its ______ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding K.A.T. Excavation & Construction Inc. ("K.A.T. Excavation") under the authority of TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE ch. 382 and TEX. WATER CODE ch. 7. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and K.A.T. Excavation appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

- 1. K.A.T. Excavation owns and operates a bulk mineral handling operation at 18788 Highway 105 in Sour Lake, Hardin County, Texas (the "Plant").
- 2. The Plant consists of one or more sources as defined in Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.003(12).
- 3. The Commission and K.A.T. Excavation agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that K.A.T. Excavation is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. K.A.T. Excavation received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about June 13, 2007.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by K.A.T. Excavation of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Two Thousand One Hundred Seventy-Five Dollars (\$2,175) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II

			•	
	•			
•				

("Allegations"). K.A.T. Excavation has paid One Thousand Seven Hundred Forty Dollars (\$1,740) of the administrative penalty and Four Hundred Thirty-Five Dollars (\$435) is deferred contingent upon K.A.T. Excavation's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If K.A.T. Excavation fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require K.A.T. Excavation to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and K.A.T. Excavation have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that K.A.T. Excavation installed approximately 350 feet of new asphalt and concrete at the exit of the Plant on June 1, 2007 to eliminate future nuisance and/or traffic hazard conditions.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that K.A.T. Excavation has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Plant, K.A.T. Excavation is alleged to have failed to prevent a nuisance condition, to prevent emissions from impacting off-site properties, and to prevent a traffic hazard along State Highway 105 resulting from dust emissions created by vehicle traffic at the Plant, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 101.4 and 101.5 and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(a) and (b), as documented during an investigation conducted on April 11, 2007.

III. DENIALS

K.A.T. Excavation generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

and the experience of the first of the second of the secon

en de la collega e la companya de l La companya de la co La companya de la co

41. The second of the secon

Commence of the work

and the second of the second o

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that K.A.T. Excavation pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and K.A.T. Excavation's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations, which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: K.A.T. Excavation & Construction Inc., Docket No. 2007-0925-AIR-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon K.A.T. Excavation. K.A.T. Excavation is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Plant operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 3. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against K.A.T. Excavation in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 4. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
- 5. Under 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to K.A.T. Excavation, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to K.A.T. Excavation, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

From:TCEQ DFW REGION

817 588 5905

09/17/2007 09:28

#201 P. 002/002

Received:

Sep 10 07 07:06a

KAT Excavation & Construc

Sep 10 2007 07:56am 409-287-2600

2.d

From: TCEO DFW REGION

817 588 5905

09/06/2007 16:07

#186 P. 005/005

K.A.T. Excavation & Construction Inc. DOCKET NO. 2007-0925-AIR-E Page 4

PAGE SIGNATURE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission

Executive Director

Date

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions; and
- TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.

Name (Printed or typed)

Authorized Representative of

K.A.T. Excavation & Construction Inc.

Date
President

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

•